The big idea of the course is to get people to think carefully and systematically about how policies and programs impact the world. A truly evidence-based policy takes into account what has worked and not worked in the past, and can be evaluated for its impact. This requires us to define the causal chain and the counterfactual, identify and evaluate the evidence to demonstrate impact, and account for alternative explanations and unintended consequences of our policy decisions. By the end of this course, learners will be equipped with the tools to truly detect evidence of the impact of policies on the world, and use these tools for better policies in the process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Priors and Counterfactuals</strong></td>
<td><strong>Individual assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong></td>
<td><strong>Evidence for a Theory of Change</strong></td>
<td><strong>Group assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td><strong>Threats to the Counterfactual</strong></td>
<td><strong>Individual assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Content</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong></td>
<td>Drawing out existing beliefs about the world (<em>priors</em>)</td>
<td><strong>Individual assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 1</strong></td>
<td>Understanding what would have happened if a policy never existed (<em>counterfactual</em>)</td>
<td><strong>Group assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 2</strong></td>
<td>Methods to translate priors into a concrete representation of impact</td>
<td><strong>Individual assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 2</strong></td>
<td>The theory of change as a representation of causal mechanisms expected to produce this impact</td>
<td><strong>Group assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td>Impact evaluation and the <em>approximation of the counterfactual</em> in the real world</td>
<td><strong>Individual assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td>Systematic ways to rule out <em>alternative explanations</em></td>
<td><strong>Group assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 3</strong></td>
<td>Tool: Central threats to the counterfactual</td>
<td><strong>Individual assignments</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Topic | Content | Assignments
--- | --- | ---
**Week 4** | **Study Designs** |  
Study designs for different goals, resources, and timelines  
Introduction to matching, difference-in-differences, regression discontinuity, and randomized control trials  
Tools: Basics of 4 study designs  
| **Individual assignments**  
• Case analysis  
• Quiz  
**Group assignments**  
• Synchronous hour meeting  
• Group case analysis  
**Week 5** | **Mixing Qualitative and Quantitative Methods** |  
Rationale and strengths of qualitative research and how it can be incorporated into program evaluation  
Use of mixed methods for more rigorous understanding of a program or policy  
| **Individual assignments**  
• Writing assignment  
• Case analysis  
**Group assignments**  
• Synchronous hour meeting  
• Group case analysis  
**Week 6** | **Updating Your Priors** |  
Updating priors with new evidence learned from evaluation  
Detection of unintended consequences  
Revisiting your theory of change  
| **Individual assignments**  
• Peer review assignment  
**Group assignments**  
• Synchronous hour meeting  
• Group peer consultations

### Materials
All course materials will be provided each week, including videos, readings, and assignment templates.

This course uses global examples from across sectors to help you develop your capacity for causal evidence. Examples include global traffic congestion, US economic mobility, community health organizing in Tanzania and Indonesia, Jamaican social programs, and many others.

### Scoring
Course performance is not assigned a letter grade, but instead a designation of Pass or No Pass. Approximately the top 10% of the course (not necessarily those who score above 90%) are recognized with Pass with Distinction.

- 50%: Individual Assignments and Quizzes
- 25%: Group Assignments
- 10%: Case Analysis Companions
- 10%: Discussion Posts
- 5%: Feedback Surveys

### Course Policies
See onlinelearning.hks.harvard.edu for full FAQs.