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Abstract

The Executive Session on Water and Human Well Being was convened by the Harvard Kennedy School of Government and Venice International University on July 20–21, 2009. This high-level gathering was organized to create a unique space for dialog between policymakers, academics, and sector experts to move beyond the truism that “water is life” towards actionable solutions for making water a force for improved human health and well being in the development agenda. Discussion focused on sharing new evidence from applied research on game-changing technologies and human behavior that affect environmental health outcomes. In addition, sessions addressed strategies to move beyond promising pilot projects to scalable programs; public, private, and integrated approaches were considered. The interconnections between sustainability and scale were explored, giving policymakers an explicit opportunity to help shape the research agenda of leading biomedical and social scientists working at the intersection of water and health. The session was one in a series on Grand Challenges of the Sustainability Transition organized by the Sustainability Science Program at Harvard University with the generous support of the Italian Ministry for Environment, Land, and Sea. See http://www.hks.harvard.edu/centers/cid/programs/sustsci/events/workshops/2009/water.
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Grand Challenges of the Sustainability Transition:
This report emerges from the third in a series of workshops and study sessions on Grand Challenges of the Sustainability Transition, organized by the Sustainability Science Program at Harvard University, hosted by Venice International University, and supported by the Italian Ministry of Environment, Land and Sea. The first session in the series addressed Grand Challenges in Sustainability Science. It was convened in October 2006 by William Clark, Co-Director, Sustainability Science Program at Harvard University; John Holdren, President, American Association for the Advancement of Science and Professor, Harvard University; and Robert Kates, Co-Chair, Initiative on Science and Technology for Sustainability. Further information is available at the workshop web site: http://www.hks.harvard.edu/centers/cid/programs/sustsci/events/workshops/2006/grand-challenges-of-sustainability-science-workshop. The second session in the series addressed Biofuels and Sustainable Development. It was convened in May 2008 by Henry Lee, Professor, Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University; Melinda Kimball, Vice-President for Programs, United Nations Foundation; and Corrado Clini, Director General of the Ministry for Environment, Land and Sea of Italy and Chairman of the G8 Global Bioenergy Partnership. Further information is available at the workshop web site: http://www.cid.harvard.edu/cidwp/pdf/174.pdf.

The Sustainability Science Program at Harvard University:
Harvard’s Sustainability Science Program harnesses the University’s strengths to promote the design of institutions, policies, and practices that support sustainable development. The Program addresses the challenge of sustainable development by: advancing scientific understanding of human-environment systems; improving linkages between research and policy communities; and building capacity for linking knowledge with action to promote sustainability. The Program supports major initiatives in policy-relevant research, faculty research, training of students and fellows, teaching, and outreach. Further information is available though the Program web site at www.cid.harvard.edu/sustsci/, or from co-Directors William C. Clark (william_clark@harvard.edu), Michael Kremer (mkremer@fas.harvard.edu) or Nancy Dickson (nancy_dickson@harvard.edu), at the Center for International Development, Harvard Kennedy School, 79 JFK Street, Cambridge, MA 02138 USA.

Venice International University:
Venice International University (VIU) is an association made up of ten universities, the Foundation of Venice, the Province of Venice, the Italian Ministry for the Environment and Territory (IMET) and the Italian National Research Council. The aim of this international center is to manage higher education and research centers on the island of San Servolo in Venice. VIU’s work on sustainability is pursued through The Center for Thematic Environmental Networks (TEN). Further information is available through the TEN web site at www.univiu.org/research/ten, or from Professor Ignazio Musu (ten@univiu.org), at VIU, Isola di San Servolo 30100 Venice, Italy.
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Water and Human Well Being

The Executive Session on Water and Human Well Being was convened by the Harvard Kennedy School of Government and Venice International University on July 20–21, 2009. This high-level gathering was organized to create a unique space for dialog between policymakers, academics, and sector experts to move beyond the truism that “water is life” towards actionable solutions for making water a force for improved human health and well being in the development agenda. Discussion focused on sharing new evidence from applied research on game-changing technologies and human behavior that affect environmental health outcomes. In addition, sessions addressed strategies to move beyond promising pilot projects to scalable programs; public, private, and integrated approaches were considered. The interconnections between sustainability and scale were explored, giving policymakers an explicit opportunity to help shape the research agenda of leading biomedical and social scientists working at the intersection of water and health. The session was one in a series on Grand Challenges of the Sustainability Transition organized by the Sustainability Science Program at Harvard University with the generous support of the Italian Ministry for Environment, Land, and Sea.

Key reflections arising from the meeting included the following:

**The science of water and health**
- Piped water and sanitation has tremendous health impact. However this is too expensive to be practical in rural, low density areas of the poorest countries. This is especially when there are dispersed settlement patterns as in much of Africa.

- Investments in source water quality, short of piped water and sanitation, do not have the hoped for health benefits. Simply providing clean water at the source risks recontamination in storage and transport.

- Water treatment can produce significant health benefits at a potentially extremely low cost relative to the associated health benefits. There are a number of technologies for treatment including chlorine that appear ready for scaling-up, and there are a number of groups attempting to do so.

- Some people believe that there is complementarity between clean water, sanitation, and hand washing with soap, but others argue that recent evidence based on rigorous research does not seem supportive of this view and that more research is needed.

**Economics and behavior at the water and health nexus**
- There is no “correct” level of investment in water and sanitation. The choice depends on context and resource constraints.

- Some people are willing to pay for water quantity and for convenience, but in low-income countries many households will not pay for quality.

- It is possible to achieve increased take up by reducing price, and there is a fair amount of evidence that simplicity and convenience is important in the adoption decision as well.

- Social norms matter. However, less is known about how to affect these in a lasting way.

- More products that deliver solutions cheaply and easily in ways that can become habits are needed. Some examples were discussed at the conference, but these may only begin to address the needs of people in different settings and contexts.
The participants in the conference developed Figure 1 as an innovative and useful analytic tool for assessing the value of alternative interventions and cost-effectiveness estimation exercises. Figure 1 presents a space in which the alternative water interventions can be mapped; the y axis measures the (social) cost of alternative services and the x axis reports a common measure of benefits (e.g., Disability Adjusted Life Years, or DALYs). Ideally, policymakers choose interventions that are of the highest level of effectiveness, but low cost. When evaluations identify interventions that allow investments further below the 45 degree line, for example a move from point A1 to A2, they are increasingly relevant and useful.

The correct policy choice for locating a water investment strategy in this graph depends on local circumstances. For the most resource-constrained settings, an investment that provides the cost-effectiveness ratio implied by the point A2 may be appropriate. The chlorine dispenser might be thought of as an example of such an investment. As budgets grow and countries develop, it will be more appropriate to choose investment levels that maximize benefits (e.g., high-quality piped water to homes), even with larger costs (and potentially lower cost-effectiveness ratios). Point B is an example of such a choice. The decision about the appropriate timing and path of transition from point A2 to point B will depend on local context and priorities.

Figure 1: Mapping alternative water and health interventions

The policy relevant research agenda identified in the meeting centered on the challenge of taking solutions to scale for well being, mindful of context and setting

- We need to learn more about how to scale-up implement. Efforts by user groups and local community efforts to achieve sustainability have been unsuccessful in many contexts. Debates of
private sector versus government versus NGOs are misplaced, and we need to find a way to integrate these stakeholders in a productive system.

- Coalitions with local (i.e., district or county level) government are underexplored and potentially underexploited. This may be a fruitful avenue for advancing the water and sanitation agenda. Identifying relevant coalitions in different settings is a key challenge for practitioners and policymakers seeking to drive change.
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Agenda

MONDAY, JULY 20

Welcome
This extended introductory session covers the meeting genesis, goals, and ground rules. All participants introduce themselves and share their expectations for the convening.

William Clark, Harvard University
Corrado Clini, Italian Ministry for the Environment, Land and Sea
Ignazio Musu, Venice International University

Water and Health: Scientific background
This session provides a scientific overview of the burden of diarrheal disease and evidence on impact of various approaches to address it (big picture, including sanitation and handwashing, but key focus on water).

Water and Health: Scientific background
Stephen Luby, International Center for Diarrheal Disease Research, Bangladesh and US Centers for Disease Control

What works in preventing water-related disease: Infrastructure solutions?
Infrastructure solutions have a mixed record. What have we learned? How can it be improved?

What works in preventing water-related diseases: Infrastructure solutions
Duncan Mara, University of Leeds

Water privatization and health outcomes: Evidence and beliefs
Discussant: Ernesto Schargrodsky, Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

What works in preventing water-related disease: New technological solutions?
What engineering solutions are available? What do they cost? What do we know from market research?
Technology Solutions in water treatment
Glenn Austin, Partnership for Affordable Technology for Health

What works in preventing water-related disease: New technological solutions?
Discussant: Jamie Bartram, University of North Carolina

Paying for solutions
What do we know about consumer demand for clean water? What are the implications for service delivery?

Paying for solutions and some promising approaches
Alix Peterson Zwane, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

Paying for solutions: Veolia Water
Discussant: Olivier Gilbert, Veolia Water Africa Middle East India

Promising new solutions
Chlorine dispensers
Michael Kremer, Harvard University

Point-of-use and point-of-collection chlorination
Robert Quick, Enteric Diseases Epidemiology Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Plenary discussion
Chair: Alix Peterson Zwane, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

Wrap-up and stage setting

TUESDAY, JULY 21

Goals for this day: What’s new and take away messages
The second day of the workshop tackles two practical questions: How does scale-up occur? What is the policy-driven research agenda?
Discussion begun by Tanvi Nagpal, Global Water Challenge

How do we measure the impacts of interventions?
Discussion begun by Clair Null, Emory University

Moving beyond pilot projects: How do we take interventions to scale?
Discussion

Summary messages and looking forward to next year: Policymaker and practitioner needs from academia
What do people trying to promote healthy water need from the R&D community that they are not getting currently?
Michael Kremer, Harvard University
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