

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Abington	No vote	--			\$0	\$461,749	\$0	-\$461,749	\$57,100
Acton	Yes	2002	1.5%		\$108	\$761,515	\$2,228,178	\$1,466,663	\$91,624
Acushnet	Yes	2003	1.5%		\$26	\$282,495	\$279,175	-\$3,320	\$51,500
Adams	No vote	--			\$0	\$193,690	\$0	-\$193,690	\$32,161
Agawam	Yes	2001	1.0%		\$46	\$819,688	\$1,312,398	\$492,710	\$49,390
Alford	No vote	--			\$0	\$24,915	\$0	-\$24,915	\$49,632
Amesbury	No vote	--			\$0	\$607,987	\$0	-\$607,987	\$51,906
Amherst	Yes	2001	1.5%		\$24	\$452,794	\$816,671	\$363,877	\$40,017
Andover	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,093,062	\$0	-\$1,093,062	\$87,683
Aquinnah	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$619	\$29,754	\$220,189	\$190,435	\$45,208
Arlington	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,140,417	\$0	-\$1,140,417	\$64,344
Ashburnham	No vote	--			\$0	\$231,296	\$0	-\$231,296	\$55,568
Ashby	Failed	2002	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$112,979	\$0	-\$112,979	\$61,000
Ashfield	Failed	2001	2.0%	A, B	\$0	\$63,135	\$0	-\$63,135	\$52,875
Ashland	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$139	\$618,025	\$2,164,292	\$1,546,267	\$68,392
Athol	No vote	--			\$0	\$372,038	\$0	-\$372,038	\$33,475
Attleboro	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,303,922	\$0	-\$1,303,922	\$50,807
Auburn	No vote	--			\$0	\$571,184	\$0	-\$571,184	\$51,753
Avon	No vote	--			\$0	\$130,427	\$0	-\$130,427	\$50,305
Ayer	Yes	2001	1.0%		\$102	\$220,671	\$740,616	\$519,945	\$46,619
Barnstable	Yes	2004	3.0%		\$97	\$2,025,379	\$4,637,775	\$2,612,396	\$46,811
Barre	No vote	--			\$0	\$163,054	\$0	-\$163,054	\$50,553

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Becket	No vote	--			\$0	\$120,173	\$0	-\$120,173	\$46,806
Bedford	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$347	\$379,344	\$4,323,214	\$3,943,870	\$87,962
Belchertown	Yes	2005	1.5%		\$10	\$491,888	\$144,216	-\$347,672	\$52,467
Bellingham	No vote	--			\$0	\$577,007	\$0	-\$577,007	\$64,496
Belmont	No vote	--			\$0	\$598,410	\$0	-\$598,410	\$80,295
Berkley	No vote	--			\$0	\$245,246	\$0	-\$245,246	\$66,295
Berlin	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$88,217	\$0	-\$88,217	\$65,667
Bernardston	No vote	--			\$0	\$69,513	\$0	-\$69,513	\$45,259
Beverly	Failed	2001		A,B,C	\$0	\$1,125,224	\$0	-\$1,125,224	\$53,984
Billerica	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,319,309	\$0	-\$1,319,309	\$67,799
Blackstone	No vote	--			\$0	\$293,587	\$0	-\$293,587	\$55,163
Blandford	No vote	--			\$0	\$43,125	\$0	-\$43,125	\$52,935
Bolton	No vote	--			\$0	\$189,525	\$0	-\$189,525	\$102,798
Boston	Failed	2001	2.0%	A, B	\$0	\$11,718,596	\$0	-\$11,718,596	\$39,629
Bourne	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$85	\$713,654	\$1,647,627	\$933,973	\$45,113
Boxborough	No vote	--			\$0	\$194,952	\$0	-\$194,952	\$87,618
Boxford	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$247	\$317,414	\$2,021,213	\$1,703,799	\$113,212
Boylston	No vote	--			\$0	\$157,983	\$0	-\$157,983	\$67,703
Braintree	Yes	2002	1.0%		\$50	\$982,760	\$1,689,357	\$706,597	\$61,790
Brewster	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$114	\$517,598	\$1,168,679	\$651,081	\$49,276
Bridgewater	Yes	2005	2.0%		\$14	\$677,710	\$359,734	-\$317,976	\$65,318
Brimfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$134,176	\$0	-\$134,176	\$50,181

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Brockton	No vote	--			\$0	\$2,265,182	\$0	-\$2,265,182	\$39,507
Brookfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$113,511	\$0	-\$113,511	\$45,655
Brookline	Failed	2006	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$1,322,279	\$0	-\$1,322,279	\$66,711
Buckland	No vote	--			\$0	\$57,532	\$0	-\$57,532	\$45,833
Burlington	Failed	2002	2.0%		\$0	\$657,850	\$0	-\$657,850	\$75,240
Cambridge	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$277	\$1,703,764	\$27,728,667	\$26,024,903	\$47,979
Canton	Failed	2006	1.0%	A, B	\$0	\$648,345	\$0	-\$648,345	\$69,260
Carlisle	Yes	2001	2.0%		\$263	\$182,089	\$1,269,264	\$1,087,175	\$129,811
Carver	Yes	2006	3.0%		\$0	\$392,667	\$0	-\$392,667	\$53,506
Charlemont	No vote	--			\$0	\$38,987	\$0	-\$38,987	\$46,548
Charlton	No vote	--			\$0	\$460,721	\$0	-\$460,721	\$63,033
Chatham	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$300	\$421,789	\$2,048,071	\$1,626,282	\$45,519
Chelmsford	Yes	2001	0.5%		\$28	\$1,163,984	\$933,136	-\$230,848	\$70,207
Chelsea	No vote	--			\$0	\$517,995	\$0	-\$517,995	\$30,161
Cheshire	No vote	--			\$0	\$97,186	\$0	-\$97,186	\$41,981
Chester	No vote	--			\$0	\$45,456	\$0	-\$45,456	\$43,816
Chesterfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$42,512	\$0	-\$42,512	\$49,063
Chicopee	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,133,450	\$0	-\$1,133,450	\$35,672
Chilmark	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$650	\$70,616	\$614,344	\$543,728	\$41,917
Clarksburg	No vote	--			\$0	\$51,257	\$0	-\$51,257	\$43,362
Clinton	No vote	--			\$0	\$390,706	\$0	-\$390,706	\$44,740
Cohasset	Yes	2001	1.5%		\$178	\$270,615	\$1,285,492	\$1,014,877	\$84,156

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Colrain	No vote	--			\$0	\$50,025	\$0	-\$50,025	\$40,076
Concord	Yes	2004	1.5%		\$80	\$531,761	\$1,349,879	\$818,118	\$95,897
Conway	Yes	2004	1.5%		\$46	\$65,821	\$87,600	\$21,779	\$56,094
Cumington	No vote	--			\$0	\$32,821	\$0	-\$32,821	\$42,250
Dalton	No vote	--			\$0	\$183,607	\$0	-\$183,607	\$47,891
Danvers	No vote	--			\$0	\$825,241	\$0	-\$825,241	\$58,779
Dartmouth	Yes	2002	1.5%		\$45	\$822,223	\$1,417,690	\$595,467	\$50,742
Dedham	No vote	--			\$0	\$690,582	\$0	-\$690,582	\$61,699
Deerfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$143,951	\$0	-\$143,951	\$49,764
Dennis	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$97	\$839,724	\$1,544,810	\$705,086	\$41,598
Dighton	No vote	--			\$0	\$220,961	\$0	-\$220,961	\$58,600
Douglas	No vote	--			\$0	\$333,858	\$0	-\$333,858	\$60,529
Dover	Failed	2002	3.0%	A	\$0	\$197,357	\$0	-\$197,357	\$141,818
Dracut	Yes	2001	2.0%		\$87	\$978,137	\$2,521,563	\$1,543,426	\$57,676
Dudley	No vote	--			\$0	\$333,243	\$0	-\$333,243	\$48,602
Dunstable	Yes	2006	3.0%		\$0	\$113,906	\$0	-\$113,906	\$86,633
Duxbury	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$321	\$557,113	\$4,700,846	\$4,143,733	\$97,124
East Bridgewater	No vote	--			\$0	\$442,250	\$0	-\$442,250	\$60,311
East Brookfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$79,603	\$0	-\$79,603	\$51,860
East Longmeadow	Yes	2006	1.0%		\$0	\$497,316	\$0	-\$497,316	\$62,680

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Eastham	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$141	\$334,151	\$782,176	\$448,025	\$42,618
Easthampton	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$46	\$415,420	\$734,204	\$318,784	\$45,185
Easton	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$121	\$765,869	\$2,785,197	\$2,019,328	\$69,144
Edgartown	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$124	\$345,650	\$486,253	\$140,603	\$50,407
Egremont	No vote	--			\$0	\$63,676	\$0	-\$63,676	\$50,000
Erving	No vote	--			\$0	\$48,444	\$0	-\$48,444	\$40,039
Essex	No vote	--	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$113,528	\$0	-\$113,528	\$59,554
Everett	No vote	--			\$0	\$729,233	\$0	-\$729,233	\$40,661
Fairhaven	Yes	2005	2.0%		\$15	\$470,722	\$247,177	-\$223,545	\$41,696
Fall River	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,603,525	\$0	-\$1,603,525	\$29,014
Falmouth	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$106	\$1,421,537	\$3,583,032	\$2,161,495	\$48,191
Fitchburg	No vote	--			\$0	\$945,909	\$0	-\$945,909	\$37,004
Florida	No vote	--			\$0	\$22,734	\$0	-\$22,734	\$43,000
Foxborough	No vote	--			\$0	\$499,261	\$0	-\$499,261	\$64,323
Framingham	Failed	2001	3.0%	B	\$0	\$1,706,243	\$0	-\$1,706,243	\$54,288
Franklin	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,074,074	\$0	-\$1,074,074	\$71,174
Freetown	No vote	--			\$0	\$318,007	\$0	-\$318,007	\$64,576
Gardner	No vote	--			\$0	\$508,103	\$0	-\$508,103	\$37,334
Georgetown	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$127	\$302,807	\$1,018,163	\$715,356	\$76,260
Gill	No vote	--			\$0	\$41,929	\$0	-\$41,929	\$50,750
Gloucester	Failed	2001	3.0%	B	\$0	\$940,713	\$0	-\$940,713	\$47,722
Goshen	No vote	--			\$0	\$39,608	\$0	-\$39,608	\$49,583

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Gosnold	No vote	--			\$0	\$2,342	\$0	-\$2,342	\$22,344
Grafton	Yes	2002	1.5%		\$42	\$591,534	\$706,877	\$115,343	\$56,020
Granby	No vote	--			\$0	\$199,665	\$0	-\$199,665	\$54,293
Granville	No vote	--			\$0	\$51,368	\$0	-\$51,368	\$53,148
Great Barrington	Failed	2002	3.0%	B	\$0	\$239,413	\$0	-\$239,413	\$45,490
Greenfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$412,494	\$0	-\$412,494	\$33,110
Groton	Yes	2004	3.0%		\$45	\$376,548	\$472,312	\$95,764	\$82,869
Groveland	Yes	2004	3.0%		\$55	\$232,354	\$363,342	\$130,988	\$69,167
Hadley	Yes	2004	3.0%		\$65	\$147,685	\$312,914	\$165,229	\$51,851
Halifax	Failed	2001	3.0%	B	\$0	\$270,213	\$0	-\$270,213	\$57,015
Hamilton	Yes	2005	2.0%		\$34	\$246,452	\$287,075	\$40,623	\$72,000
Hampden	Yes	2001	1.0%		\$31	\$167,845	\$166,222	-\$1,623	\$65,662
Hancock	No vote	--			\$0	\$41,565	\$0	-\$41,565	\$45,347
Hanover	Yes	2004	3.0%		\$42	\$461,522	\$599,138	\$137,616	\$73,838
Hanson	No vote	--			\$0	\$349,372	\$0	-\$349,372	\$62,687
Hardwick	No vote	--			\$0	\$76,194	\$0	-\$76,194	\$45,742
Harvard	Yes	2001	1.1%		\$99	\$203,867	\$603,026	\$399,159	\$107,934
Harwich	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$135	\$662,945	\$1,709,226	\$1,046,281	\$41,552
Hatfield	Yes	2006	3.0%		\$0	\$91,091	\$0	-\$91,091	\$50,238
Haverhill	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,856,399	\$0	-\$1,856,399	\$49,833
Hawley	No vote	--			\$0	\$10,546	\$0	-\$10,546	\$38,125

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Heath	No vote	--			\$0	\$32,772	\$0	-\$32,772	\$50,536
Hingham	Yes	2001	1.5%		\$115	\$704,889	\$2,480,670	\$1,775,781	\$83,018
Hinsdale	No vote	--			\$0	\$66,552	\$0	-\$66,552	\$42,500
Holbrook	No vote	--			\$0	\$313,684	\$0	-\$313,684	\$54,419
Holden	Failed	2004	1.5%	B	\$0	\$595,832	\$0	-\$595,832	\$64,297
Holland	No vote	--			\$0	\$117,010	\$0	-\$117,010	\$52,073
Holliston	Yes	2001	1.5%		\$95	\$493,067	\$1,316,782	\$823,715	\$78,092
Holyoke	No vote	--			\$0	\$603,462	\$0	-\$603,462	\$30,441
Hopedale	No vote	--			\$0	\$219,934	\$0	-\$219,934	\$60,176
Hopkinton	Yes	2001	2.0%		\$172	\$577,084	\$2,429,341	\$1,852,257	\$89,281
Hubbardston	Yes	2006	1.5%		\$0	\$175,908	\$0	-\$175,908	\$61,462
Hudson	Failed	2002	3.0%	A. B. C	\$0	\$565,341	\$0	-\$565,341	\$58,549
Hull	Failed	2006	3.0%	A	\$0	\$406,403	\$0	-\$406,403	\$52,377
Huntington	No vote	--			\$0	\$70,145	\$0	-\$70,145	\$48,958
Ipswich	No vote	--			\$0	\$466,073	\$0	-\$466,073	\$57,284
Kingston	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$33	\$437,767	\$416,612	-\$21,155	\$53,780
Lakeville	Failed	2006	1.0%	A, B	\$0	\$383,703	\$0	-\$383,703	\$70,495
Lancaster	No vote	--			\$0	\$218,661	\$0	-\$218,661	\$60,752
Lanesborough	No vote	--			\$0	\$104,078	\$0	-\$104,078	\$46,496
Lawrence	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,169,943	\$0	-\$1,169,943	\$27,983
Lee	No vote	--			\$0	\$180,178	\$0	-\$180,178	\$41,556
Leicester	No vote	--			\$0	\$341,878	\$0	-\$341,878	\$55,039

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Lenox	Yes	2006	3.0%		\$0	\$170,888	\$0	-\$170,888	\$45,581
Leominster	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,082,277	\$0	-\$1,082,277	\$44,893
Leverett	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$120	\$59,240	\$213,304	\$154,064	\$63,203
Lexington	Yes	2006	3.0%		\$0	\$940,885	\$0	-\$940,885	\$96,825
Leyden	No vote	--			\$0	\$29,275	\$0	-\$29,275	\$50,385
Lincoln	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$175	\$161,155	\$1,386,199	\$1,225,044	\$79,003
Littleton	No vote	--			\$0	\$313,518	\$0	-\$313,518	\$71,384
Longmeadow	Yes	2006	1.0%		\$0	\$502,876	\$0	-\$502,876	\$75,461
Lowell	No vote	--			\$0	\$2,202,473	\$0	-\$2,202,473	\$39,192
Ludlow	Failed	2002	3.0%	B	\$0	\$518,742	\$0	-\$518,742	\$47,002
Lunenburg	Failed	2002	3.0%	B	\$0	\$353,824	\$0	-\$353,824	\$56,813
Lynn	No vote	--			\$0	\$2,037,174	\$0	-\$2,037,174	\$37,364
Lynnfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$396,793	\$0	-\$396,793	\$80,626
Malden	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$1,132,285	\$0	-\$1,132,285	\$45,654
Manchester	Yes	2005	0.5%		\$12	\$178,225	\$65,575	-\$112,650	\$73,467
Mansfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$820,382	\$0	-\$820,382	\$66,925
Marblehead	Failed	2002	1.0%	A, B	\$0	\$792,550	\$0	-\$792,550	\$73,968
Marion	Yes	2005	2.0%		\$38	\$185,440	\$202,586	\$17,146	\$61,250
Marlborough	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,077,416	\$0	-\$1,077,416	\$56,879
Marshfield	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$140	\$922,050	\$3,482,566	\$2,560,516	\$66,508
Mashpee	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$122	\$767,267	\$1,737,604	\$970,337	\$50,871
Mattapoisett	Yes	2006	1.0%		\$0	\$228,597	\$0	-\$228,597	\$58,466

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Maynard	Yes	2006	1.5%		\$0	\$336,815	\$0	-\$336,815	\$60,812
Medfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$404,820	\$0	-\$404,820	\$97,748
Medford	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,290,177	\$0	-\$1,290,177	\$52,476
Medway	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$159	\$446,339	\$2,031,005	\$1,584,666	\$75,135
Melrose	No vote	--			\$0	\$775,599	\$0	-\$775,599	\$62,811
Mendon	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$88	\$214,993	\$504,772	\$289,779	\$71,164
Merrimac	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$218,288	\$0	-\$218,288	\$58,692
Methuen	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$1,353,629	\$0	-\$1,353,629	\$49,627
Middleborough	Failed	2002	3.0%	B	\$0	\$695,690	\$0	-\$695,690	\$52,755
Middlefield	No vote	--			\$0	\$21,439	\$0	-\$21,439	\$50,938
Middleton	Yes	2004	1.0%		\$25	\$278,517	\$228,064	-\$50,453	\$81,395
Milford	No vote	--			\$0	\$839,903	\$0	-\$839,903	\$50,856
Millbury	No vote	--			\$0	\$410,823	\$0	-\$410,823	\$51,415
Millis	Yes	2006	1.0%		\$0	\$262,860	\$0	-\$262,860	\$62,806
Millville	No vote	--			\$0	\$115,263	\$0	-\$115,263	\$57,000
Milton	No vote	--			\$0	\$748,636	\$0	-\$748,636	\$78,985
Monroe	No vote	--			\$0	\$4,454	\$0	-\$4,454	\$25,500
Monson	Yes	2006	3.0%		\$0	\$277,962	\$0	-\$277,962	\$52,030
Montague	No vote	--			\$0	\$212,899	\$0	-\$212,899	\$33,750
Monterey	No vote	--			\$0	\$46,353	\$0	-\$46,353	\$49,750
Montgomery	No vote	--			\$0	\$29,545	\$0	-\$29,545	\$59,063

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Mount Washington	No vote	--			\$0	\$8,907	\$0	-\$8,907	\$53,125
Nahant	Yes	2004	3.0%		\$74	\$114,994	\$266,679	\$151,685	\$64,052
Nantucket	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$526	\$692,481	\$5,353,061	\$4,660,580	\$55,522
Natick	Failed	2006	1.0%	A, B	\$0	\$1,126,645	\$0	-\$1,126,645	\$69,755
Needham	Yes	2004	2.0%		\$46	\$886,843	\$1,303,584	\$416,741	\$88,079
New Ashford	No vote	--			\$0	\$6,594	\$0	-\$6,594	\$51,250
New Bedford	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,676,636	\$0	-\$1,676,636	\$27,569
New Braintree	No vote	--			\$0	\$36,433	\$0	-\$36,433	\$54,844
New Marlborough	No vote	--			\$0	\$70,680	\$0	-\$70,680	\$46,875
New Salem	Failed	2006	2.0%	A, B	\$0	\$40,037	\$0	-\$40,037	\$48,688
Newbury	No vote	--			\$0	\$274,608	\$0	-\$274,608	\$74,836
Newburyport	Yes	2002	2.0%		\$80	\$707,376	\$1,389,944	\$682,568	\$58,557
Newton	Yes	2001	1.0%		\$109	\$2,318,251	\$9,048,018	\$6,729,767	\$86,052
Norfolk	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$151	\$325,628	\$1,581,749	\$1,256,121	\$86,153
North Adams	No vote	--			\$0	\$279,144	\$0	-\$279,144	\$27,601
North Andover	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$180	\$925,122	\$4,887,932	\$3,962,810	\$72,728
North Attleborough	Failed	2002	3.0%	A, B, C	\$0	\$957,753	\$0	-\$957,753	\$59,371
North Brookfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$151,643	\$0	-\$151,643	\$44,286

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
North Reading	No vote	--			\$0	\$536,843	\$0	-\$536,843	\$76,962
Northampton	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$0	\$690,391	\$0	-\$690,391	\$41,808
Northborough	Yes	2004	1.5%		\$22	\$530,919	\$327,561	-\$203,358	\$79,781
Northbridge	No vote	--			\$0	\$492,853	\$0	-\$492,853	\$50,457
Northfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$96,748	\$0	-\$96,748	\$49,141
Norton	Failed	2002	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$627,510	\$0	-\$627,510	\$64,818
Norwell	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$215	\$363,614	\$2,232,757	\$1,869,143	\$87,397
Norwood	No vote	--			\$0	\$658,571	\$0	-\$658,571	\$58,421
Oak Bluffs	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$97	\$273,388	\$368,308	\$94,920	\$42,044
Oakham	No vote	--			\$0	\$73,257	\$0	-\$73,257	\$60,729
Orange	No vote	--			\$0	\$229,754	\$0	-\$229,754	\$36,849
Orleans	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$140	\$309,810	\$905,230	\$595,420	\$42,594
Otis	No vote	--			\$0	\$99,297	\$0	-\$99,297	\$51,488
Oxford	No vote	--			\$0	\$463,236	\$0	-\$463,236	\$52,233
Palmer	Failed	2006	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$337,110	\$0	-\$337,110	\$41,443
Paxton	Failed	2006	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$148,228	\$0	-\$148,228	\$72,039
Peabody	Yes	2001	1.0%		\$47	\$1,370,740	\$2,383,340	\$1,012,600	\$54,829
Pelham	No vote	--			\$0	\$41,085	\$0	-\$41,085	\$61,339
Pembroke	Yes	2006	1.0%		\$0	\$643,847	\$0	-\$643,847	\$65,050
Pepperell	No vote	--			\$0	\$387,909	\$0	-\$387,909	\$65,163
Peru	No vote	--			\$0	\$31,018	\$0	-\$31,018	\$44,531
Petersham	No vote	--			\$0	\$44,462	\$0	-\$44,462	\$47,833

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Phillipston	No vote	--			\$0	\$67,035	\$0	-\$67,035	\$46,845
Pittsfield	Failed	2006	1.0%	A, B	\$0	\$1,093,804	\$0	-\$1,093,804	\$35,655
Plainfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$22,110	\$0	-\$22,110	\$37,250
Plainville	Failed	2001	3.0%	B	\$0	\$256,011	\$0	-\$256,011	\$57,155
Plymouth	Yes	2002	1.5%		\$79	\$1,919,452	\$4,337,510	\$2,418,058	\$54,677
Plympton	Failed	2006	3.0%	A	\$0	\$104,778	\$0	-\$104,778	\$70,045
Princeton	No vote	--			\$0	\$131,377	\$0	-\$131,377	\$80,993
Provincetown	Yes	2004	3.0%		\$173	\$281,189	\$593,390	\$312,201	\$32,716
Quincy	Yes	2006	1.0%		\$0	\$2,022,771	\$0	-\$2,022,771	\$47,121
Randolph	Yes	2005	2.0%		\$16	\$860,236	\$486,043	-\$374,193	\$55,255
Raynham	Failed	2005	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$416,453	\$0	-\$416,453	\$60,449
Reading	Failed	2002	1.0%	A, B	\$0	\$790,001	\$0	-\$790,001	\$77,059
Rehoboth	Failed	2002	2.0%		\$0	\$398,899	\$0	-\$398,899	\$65,373
Revere	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,042,234	\$0	-\$1,042,234	\$37,067
Richmond	No vote	--			\$0	\$57,897	\$0	-\$57,897	\$60,917
Rochester	Failed	2006	1.0%	A, B	\$0	\$190,201	\$0	-\$190,201	\$63,289
Rockland	No vote	--			\$0	\$509,238	\$0	-\$509,238	\$50,613
Rockport	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$156	\$258,003	\$1,212,745	\$954,742	\$50,661
Rowe	No vote	--			\$0	\$13,109	\$0	-\$13,109	\$41,944
Rowley	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$194	\$215,538	\$1,136,370	\$920,832	\$62,130
Royalston	No vote	--			\$0	\$52,856	\$0	-\$52,856	\$44,444
Russell	No vote	--			\$0	\$49,458	\$0	-\$49,458	\$46,600

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Rutland	No vote	--			\$0	\$284,555	\$0	-\$284,555	\$62,846
Salem	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,107,310	\$0	-\$1,107,310	\$44,033
Salisbury	No vote	--			\$0	\$290,662	\$0	-\$290,662	\$49,310
Sandisfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$45,186	\$0	-\$45,186	\$45,972
Sandwich	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$105	\$903,858	\$2,174,890	\$1,271,032	\$61,250
Saugus	Failed	2001	1.0%		\$0	\$821,693	\$0	-\$821,693	\$55,301
Savoy	No vote	--			\$0	\$28,799	\$0	-\$28,799	\$41,477
Scituate	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$156	\$627,834	\$2,826,097	\$2,198,263	\$70,868
Seekonk	No vote	--			\$0	\$487,292	\$0	-\$487,292	\$56,364
Sharon	Yes	2004	1.0%		\$18	\$592,639	\$315,293	-\$277,346	\$89,256
Sheffield	No vote	--			\$0	\$124,559	\$0	-\$124,559	\$45,082
Shelburne	No vote	--			\$0	\$61,625	\$0	-\$61,625	\$42,054
Sherborn	Failed	2002	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$152,065	\$0	-\$152,065	\$121,693
Shirley	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$187,061	\$0	-\$187,061	\$53,344
Shrewsbury	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,157,016	\$0	-\$1,157,016	\$64,237
Shutesbury	No vote	--			\$0	\$65,051	\$0	-\$65,051	\$60,438
Somerset	No vote	--			\$0	\$538,645	\$0	-\$538,645	\$51,770
Somerville	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,229,047	\$0	-\$1,229,047	\$46,315
South Hadley	No vote	--			\$0	\$463,545	\$0	-\$463,545	\$46,678
Southampton	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$72	\$189,443	\$423,039	\$233,596	\$61,831
Southborough	Yes	2003	1.0%		\$63	\$364,989	\$604,185	\$239,196	\$102,986
Southbridge	No vote	--			\$0	\$381,336	\$0	-\$381,336	\$33,913

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Southwick	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$51	\$309,062	\$483,325	\$174,263	\$52,296
Spencer	No vote	--			\$0	\$373,233	\$0	-\$373,233	\$46,598
Springfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$3,098,806	\$0	-\$3,098,806	\$30,417
Sterling	Failed	2006	1.9%	A	\$0	\$255,981	\$0	-\$255,981	\$67,188
Stockbridge	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$155	\$84,974	\$349,125	\$264,151	\$48,571
Stoneham	No vote	--			\$0	\$623,826	\$0	-\$623,826	\$56,605
Stoughton	Failed	2006	2.0%	A, B	\$0	\$779,020	\$0	-\$779,020	\$57,838
Stow	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$244	\$232,235	\$1,510,408	\$1,278,173	\$96,290
Sturbridge	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$128	\$327,022	\$1,136,999	\$809,977	\$56,519
Sudbury	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$262	\$642,283	\$4,476,390	\$3,834,107	\$118,579
Sunderland	No vote	--			\$0	\$78,844	\$0	-\$78,844	\$37,147
Sutton	No vote	--			\$0	\$346,923	\$0	-\$346,923	\$75,141
Swampscott	Failed	2006	2.0%	A, B	\$0	\$499,955	\$0	-\$499,955	\$71,089
Swansea	Failed	2002	3.0%	B	\$0	\$550,446	\$0	-\$550,446	\$52,524
Taunton	No vote	--			\$0	\$1,500,420	\$0	-\$1,500,420	\$42,932
Templeton	No vote	--			\$0	\$265,713	\$0	-\$265,713	\$48,482
Tewksbury	Yes	2006	1.5%		\$0	\$1,035,891	\$0	-\$1,035,891	\$68,800
Tisbury	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$75	\$207,848	\$286,756	\$78,908	\$37,041
Tolland	No vote	--			\$0	\$22,134	\$0	-\$22,134	\$53,125
Topsfield	Failed	2005	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$212,346	\$0	-\$212,346	\$96,430
Townsend	No vote	--			\$0	\$315,589	\$0	-\$315,589	\$61,745
Truro	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$239	\$155,861	\$516,681	\$360,820	\$42,981

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Tyngsborough	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$131	\$421,575	\$1,482,872	\$1,061,297	\$69,818
Tyringham	No vote	--			\$0	\$16,604	\$0	-\$16,604	\$60,250
Upton	Yes	2003	3.0%		\$101	\$278,550	\$643,269	\$364,719	\$78,595
Uxbridge	No vote	--			\$0	\$506,489	\$0	-\$506,489	\$61,855
Wakefield	Failed	2002	1.0%	B	\$0	\$769,610	\$0	-\$769,610	\$66,117
Wales	No vote	--			\$0	\$62,538	\$0	-\$62,538	\$48,906
Walpole	No vote	--			\$0	\$722,619	\$0	-\$722,619	\$74,757
Waltham	Yes	2005	2.0%		\$30	\$1,232,580	\$1,813,306	\$580,726	\$54,010
Ware	No vote	--			\$0	\$276,679	\$0	-\$276,679	\$36,875
Wareham	Yes	2002	3.0%		\$76	\$772,921	\$1,627,740	\$854,819	\$40,422
Warren	No vote	--			\$0	\$150,545	\$0	-\$150,545	\$34,583
Warwick	No vote	--			\$0	\$27,833	\$0	-\$27,833	\$42,083
Washington	No vote	--			\$0	\$21,652	\$0	-\$21,652	\$54,583
Watertown	Failed	2005	2.0%	A, B	\$0	\$719,590	\$0	-\$719,590	\$59,764
Wayland	Yes	2001	1.5%		\$170	\$464,857	\$2,211,317	\$1,746,460	\$101,036
Webster	No vote	--			\$0	\$463,465	\$0	-\$463,465	\$38,169
Wellesley	Yes	2002	1.0%		\$85	\$758,103	\$2,298,272	\$1,540,169	\$113,686
Wellfleet	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$212	\$201,506	\$598,457	\$396,951	\$43,558
Wendell	No vote	--			\$0	\$29,750	\$0	-\$29,750	\$43,846
Wenham	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$48	\$118,525	\$223,738	\$105,213	\$90,524
West Boylston	No vote	--			\$0	\$226,451	\$0	-\$226,451	\$53,777

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
West Bridgewater	No vote	--			\$0	\$210,931	\$0	-\$210,931	\$55,958
West Brookfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$134,098	\$0	-\$134,098	\$49,722
West Newbury	Yes	2006	3.0%		\$0	\$167,908	\$0	-\$167,908	\$92,828
West Springfield	No vote	--			\$0	\$665,034	\$0	-\$665,034	\$40,266
West Stockbridge	No vote	--			\$0	\$59,904	\$0	-\$59,904	\$51,000
West Tisbury	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$106	\$141,925	\$282,544	\$140,619	\$54,077
Westborough	No vote	--			\$0	\$571,878	\$0	-\$571,878	\$73,418
Westfield	Yes	2002	1.0%		\$18	\$973,959	\$741,979	-\$231,980	\$45,240
Westford	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$231	\$805,955	\$4,961,233	\$4,155,278	\$98,272
Westhampton	Failed	2001	1.0%		\$0	\$61,558	\$0	-\$61,558	\$60,089
Westminster	No vote	--			\$0	\$263,668	\$0	-\$263,668	\$57,755
Weston	Yes	2001	3.0%		\$485	\$347,214	\$5,613,778	\$5,266,564	\$153,918
Westport	Yes	2002	2.0%		\$81	\$461,712	\$1,216,245	\$754,533	\$55,436
Westwood	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$437,716	\$0	-\$437,716	\$87,394
Weymouth	Yes	2005	1.0%		\$9	\$1,558,382	\$470,101	-\$1,088,281	\$51,665
Whately	No vote	--			\$0	\$48,469	\$0	-\$48,469	\$58,929
Whitman	Failed	2002	3.0%	A, B, C	\$0	\$433,072	\$0	-\$433,072	\$55,303
Wilbraham	Yes	2004	1.5%		\$29	\$450,891	\$412,796	-\$38,095	\$65,014

Municipality	CPA Status	Date of Vote	Rate (3% Max)	Exemptions (See Note)	State CPA Funds per capita, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Contribution to State CPA Trust Fund FY 2002-2007	Total CPA State Matching Funds, FY 2002-2007	Estimated Difference	Median Household Income (1999)
Williamsburg	No vote	--			\$0	\$81,155	\$0	-\$81,155	\$47,250
Williamstown	Yes	2002	2.0%		\$66	\$176,356	\$545,146	\$368,790	\$51,875
Wilmington	No vote	--			\$0	\$744,773	\$0	-\$744,773	\$70,652
Winchendon	No vote	--			\$0	\$338,447	\$0	-\$338,447	\$43,750
Winchester	No vote	--			\$0	\$680,554	\$0	-\$680,554	\$94,049
Windsor	No vote	--			\$0	\$35,117	\$0	-\$35,117	\$51,389
Winthrop	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B, C	\$0	\$456,121	\$0	-\$456,121	\$53,122
Woburn	Failed	2001	3.0%	A, B	\$0	\$1,044,095	\$0	-\$1,044,095	\$54,897
Worcester	No vote	--			\$0	\$3,737,798	\$0	-\$3,737,798	\$35,623
Worthington	No vote	--			\$0	\$45,709	\$0	-\$45,709	\$53,047
Wrentham	Failed	2006	2.0%	A, B	\$0	\$377,135	\$0	-\$377,135	\$78,043
Yarmouth	Yes	2005	3.0%		\$88	\$1,133,707	\$2,175,842	\$1,042,135	\$39,808
Total						\$180,842,154	\$180,842,154		
average					\$36				\$58,315
median									\$54,077
Notes:									
1. Key to Code for Exemptions									
A= low income, B= first \$100,000, C = commercial industrial									
2. Equalized Property Value =Total Value of Property in 2006/ Total Population in 2005									

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$127,206
\$190,119
\$115,129
\$55,093
\$96,387
\$510,134
\$130,639
\$63,187
\$222,553
\$1,678,031
\$174,391
\$111,251
\$123,424
\$125,851
\$161,446
\$68,123
\$101,919
\$129,058
\$202,473
\$139,440
\$313,110
\$85,905

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$235,421
\$236,475
\$93,545
\$158,963
\$236,985
\$132,955
\$208,476
\$87,606
\$150,678
\$148,352
\$114,038
\$118,785
\$230,230
\$156,966
\$238,344
\$216,835
\$237,338
\$170,402
\$174,539
\$380,086
\$109,122
\$111,663

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$82,296
\$91,908
\$266,716
\$96,666
\$195,867
\$244,964
\$203,251
\$316,996
\$109,484
\$83,964
\$117,039
\$897,961
\$158,903
\$81,251
\$76,485
\$80,858
\$107,276
\$58,741
\$3,077,539
\$63,014
\$90,315
\$329,966

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$75,012
\$319,957
\$120,274
\$116,681
\$85,807
\$174,306
\$185,351
\$179,589
\$140,162
\$426,202
\$140,355
\$130,074
\$434,270
\$114,640
\$91,680
\$165,205
\$261,747
\$118,571
\$119,243
\$119,436

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$553,997
\$82,333
\$139,028
\$1,567,720
\$308,129
\$382,899
\$248,162
\$128,960
\$130,176
\$70,062
\$364,041
\$66,703
\$177,387
\$166,326
\$143,285
\$159,461
\$147,849
\$63,926
\$165,094
\$82,123
\$198,392
\$126,544

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$2,856,193
\$137,505
\$90,277
\$104,661
\$149,816
\$74,051
\$159,049
\$145,329
\$182,979
\$121,808
\$186,672
\$100,398
\$273,565
\$183,752
\$132,747
\$93,325
\$203,027
\$420,425
\$139,638
\$102,902
\$91,562

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$100,827
\$254,774
\$127,503
\$119,377
\$126,415
\$140,619
\$156,677
\$52,078
\$125,813
\$224,238
\$106,675
\$134,811
\$195,500
\$82,465
\$207,552
\$168,372
\$153,737
\$130,077
\$131,033
\$53,698
\$130,466
\$90,409

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$217,129
\$95,287
\$148,578
\$272,013
\$87,786
\$256,991
\$180,604
\$136,442
\$70,944
\$79,424
\$134,172
\$81,749
\$219,994
\$104,879
\$398,240
\$153,680
\$275,059
\$339,405
\$140,022
\$192,327
\$354,895
\$264,936

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$132,265
\$197,298
\$139,577
\$147,550
\$147,992
\$164,235
\$124,946
\$115,746
\$122,101
\$100,433
\$181,488
\$124,600
\$114,476
\$141,374
\$106,041
\$188,940
\$182,803
\$80,730
\$79,860
\$479,310
\$130,412

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$494,433
\$234,234
\$1,727,189
\$204,190
\$257,151
\$128,370
\$68,116
\$100,880
\$299,563
\$109,946
\$200,669
\$203,805
\$259,802
\$147,382
\$45,891
\$171,201
\$142,687
\$86,257

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$192,647
\$103,604
\$168,013
\$119,230
\$114,110
\$124,719
\$237,191
\$161,392
\$739,150
\$112,266
\$66,836
\$616,568
\$397,125
\$99,953
\$75,789
\$120,423
\$151,414
\$105,603
\$142,956
\$115,923
\$88,681
\$122,879

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$114,442
\$70,422
\$140,371
\$145,841
\$181,373
\$155,028
\$146,923
\$746,148
\$138,358
\$115,678
\$151,835
\$170,632
\$153,202
\$110,234
\$248,127
\$156,383
\$114,560
\$251,479
\$874,956
\$165,385
\$101,863
\$70,082

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$104,514
\$117,907
\$179,764
\$256,392
\$220,966
\$160,837
\$84,994
\$238,852
\$156,577
\$176,003
\$164,234
\$106,873
\$297,386
\$86,511
\$151,986
\$116,859
\$152,013
\$116,862
\$84,056
\$96,927
\$245,584
\$65,873

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$97,398
\$95,610
\$46,918
\$147,589
\$346,893
\$155,053
\$140,739
\$196,319
\$147,669
\$249,672
\$92,437
\$148,199
\$192,465
\$139,177
\$108,012
\$86,166
\$150,300
\$717,198
\$365,687
\$210,414
\$101,766
\$1,041,118

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$134,101
\$429,140
\$175,873
\$129,068
\$169,358
\$86,921
\$176,240
\$155,558
\$75,005
\$185,978
\$72,072
\$100,704
\$96,745
\$178,097
\$261,786
\$98,892
\$353,012
\$868,207
\$77,861
\$185,252
\$118,366

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$167,563
\$104,778
\$202,985
\$85,273
\$241,659
\$955,753
\$199,672
\$74,203
\$182,844
\$134,541
\$137,379
\$449,374
\$213,893
\$275,828
\$132,198
\$118,624
\$106,527
\$117,979

Equalized Property Value (See Note)
\$119,035
\$122,713
\$173,897
\$75,076
\$265,146
\$107,897
\$125,465
\$165,838
\$71,943
\$109,812
\$175,016
\$265,682
\$207,442
\$141,374