HARVARD KENNEDY SCHOOL
DEV-250  Second Year Policy Analysis Seminar
Course Syllabus for 2017 - 2018

Thursday 4:15 – 6:00 pm

*This syllabus is subject to change. You will receive a final version of the syllabus on the first day of the course*

Faculty:

**Section A: Land Hall**
Faculty: Carmen Reinhart
Office: Littauer 313
E-mail: Carmen_Reinhart@hks.harvard.edu
Phone: 617-496-8643
Office hours: TBC

Assistant: Rosita Scarfo
Office: Belfer 127
E-mail: Rosita_Scarfo@hks.harvard.edu
Phone: 617-496-1739

**Section B: L332**
Faculty: Rema Hanna
Office: Rubenstein 336
E-mail: Rema_Hanna@hks.harvard.edu
Phone: 617-495-7479

Assistant: Anthony Elias
Office: Rubenstein 331-E
E-mail: Anthony_Elias@hks.harvard.edu
Phone: 617-495-5994

**Section C: L382**
Faculty: Michael Walton
Office: Littauer 108
E-mail: michael_walton@hks.harvard.edu
Phone: 202-251-8702
Office hours: check on https://www.timetrade.com/book/PV7XP

Assistant: Yahya Chaudhry
Office: Littauer 207A
E-mail: yahya_chaudhry@hks.harvard.edu
Phone: 617-495-5994

Note: Section assignments for DEV-250 will be given to you after the first plenary meeting of the semester on Thursday, August 31, 2017.

1. Course Description

The DEV-250 “Second Year Policy Analysis,” the capstone product of the MPA/ID program, is a course designed to help you further develop your professional skills as a development practitioner and think about how evidence can be used for policy.

The main output is the SYPA report itself, which calls for the application of the theoretical and empirical techniques acquired in other courses to an actual development problem. The report is written for a specific client (a government, agency, NGO, etc.) and involves the diagnosis of a problem, the formulation of a policy proposal, and the development of a feasible implementation plan. This requires that you identify an issue, develop the conceptual and empirical basis for recommended action, and then present your analysis and recommendations in a written document.
The process of analysis itself, as well as the effective communication of the analysis to others, is an important part of the skill-building process (and your grade). Thus, in addition to the final product, you will be required to:

- Submit intermediate products throughout the process designed to further the analysis and gain feedback from others
- Effectively communicate your results through oral presentations and a self-standing policy brief

Clients: While the report must be written for a real client, you are not required to have an actual relationship with them. This is for two reasons: (1) not all clients on truly interesting development questions will be available and interested; and (2) clients’ interests may not be aligned with the primary purpose of the SYPA, which is to define a problem and use techniques from the overall MPA/ID Program. Having a real relationship with your client is fine provided that this does not lead to a product that is inconsistent with the SYPA goals.

Resources: Several resources are available to help you develop your SYPA report:

- The *DEV-250Y seminar* will allow you to interact with section leaders and other MPA/ID students with similar interests. You will be assigned to one of three sections based on your likely SYPA topic, which you will provide at the end of the first class meeting. Subsequent sessions are designed to coach you through the steps of preparing the SYPA and to develop your professional skills as a policy adviser. Section leaders are available to answer questions about writing SYPAs and to advise individual students about both substance and process. Support and insights from fellow MPA/ID classmates is especially important and this is formally incorporated into the process.

- Each student will have a faculty adviser, drawn from the HKS faculty, who has specialized expertise in at least some of the issues associated with his or her SYPA. Your faculty adviser will be an important source on substantive and methodological issues. The most helpful advisers are those with enough interest in you and/or your project to actively assist you in developing a valuable SYPA. We strongly encourage you to discuss your topic with faculty members who might be interested in serving as an adviser as soon as possible. If you are still considering several possibilities, consult potential advisers about ways to define a topic. By Thursday, September 22\textsuperscript{nd} (tbc), every student must have found an adviser. Those who have not done so will be assigned one as part of a school-wide process, but it is far better to find a good fit on your own than to be assigned.

---

1 In rare circumstances, faculty members from other schools can be recruited to be advisers, but permission to use non-HKS-affiliated faculty is required from your section leader: it is given only if the faculty member indicates a sufficient interest in the SYPA topic to volunteer their services.
Students should note that it is their responsibility to meet regularly with their section leader and adviser. In the fall semester, the key output is the SYPA outline. Adviser signatures (or other forms of confirmation to the section leaders) are required on these outlines, as they constitute a contract between the student, the adviser and the section leader. The first full SYPA draft is due at the beginning of the spring semester. The rest of the spring semester is devoted to revisions, making oral presentations, submitting a final SYPA and preparing a policy brief.

2. Objectives of the SYPA Experience

The SYPA experience provides an environment for building and expanding a number of critical, professional competencies, including:

- Identifying and conceptualizing an important development problem;
- Interpreting how a problem is related to potential processes of change;
- Applying technical approaches to analyze these challenges, using tools from economics, political analysis and diagnostic approaches to implementation;
- Using effective empirical techniques—quantitative or qualitative;
- Preparing practical recommendations for action by key decision-makers;
- Developing effective communication through both written work and oral presentations;
- Providing constructive feedback to colleagues on all of the above.

The combination of seminars, relationships with the adviser and the section leader, and interactions with other students, form the crux of the SYPA experience and present you with an opportunity to build these competencies.

For most, the SYPA will be an unfamiliar experience. Note that the SYPA is:

- **Not a slightly longer term paper.** Students who try to do it during a few intense weeks in February always find that they have misjudged the quantity of work. They produce an inferior product and are at a high risk of failing.
- **Not a research report.** Students who have modeled their SYPA on research articles have also been disappointed in their final grade. While strong research is a necessary input, the SYPA is primarily concerned with using the research findings for practical policy recommendations.
- **Not just a methodological exercise.** Students who carry out elaborate technical analyses are sometimes surprised to find that they need to deal with the practical implications of messy policy situations involving stakeholders with diverse and conflicting interests.

What, then, is the SYPA? The SYPA is an analysis in which you frame a development problem conceptually, use analytic tools to generate a better understanding of the problem and of the options available for dealing with it, and provide recommendations and implementation advice tailored to the
needs of decision-makers. Good SYPs provide conceptual, analytic, and empirical support for the recommended actions, as well as clear analysis on how the problem (and your recommendations) relate to drivers of change and interact with political processes. Importantly, good SYPs provide an assessment of implementation capacity and give a realistic framework for the implementation of the recommendations.

Students can work either as individuals or as pairs. If you work as a pair you will both receive the same grade (unless there are large differences in the participation part of the grade—see below). More than two on a team is only allowed as a special exception.

3. Enrollment

All second-year students in the MPA/ID Program must enroll in DEV-250. The course is worth 6 credits. Successful completion of the SYP is a prerequisite for graduation from the MPA/ID Program. This course is restricted to MPA/ID students.

4. Course Design

There will be three sections of DEV-250. We will try to group students with similar interests as well as to balance the size of the sections.

The course is structured to take students through a sequence of the components of policy analysis work, including (but not limited to):

- defining a policy question
- literature review and conceptualization
- alternative empirical strategies
- political analysis
- analysis of implementation
- making effective presentations
- targeting policy briefs to alternative stakeholder narratives

The meetings of DEV-250 will take several forms:

- **Plenary class meetings.** These classes will bring together the entire MPA/ID second year class to introduce and discuss a topic. All full class meetings will be held in Land Hall.

- **Section meetings.** Section meetings will be used to take you through the various phases of the work, from initial problem definition to the policy brief, using a mixture of small group work, presentations, discussions and feedback. Giving feedback to others is an important professional skill, and this will be integrated into the course in the section meetings, especially, but not exclusively, in the small group work.
• **Individual meetings with section leaders and advisers.** You are encouraged to work with your section leader and your adviser to clarify your topic, develop strategies for collecting and analyzing data, and examine the implications of your analyses and recommendations. You bear responsibility for arranging to meet with section leaders and advisers.

The course is designed, to take students through the various components of preparing and delivering a professional policy paper. However, there may also be somewhat more fluidity than a standard course in order to accommodate periods of intensive presentation, group work, and faculty travel.

Within each section, students will often be organized into smaller groups—typically 3-5 people—working on closely related themes. This will allow interactions within these groups, including informal presentations and feedback. Learning how to give critical, constructive feedback (in a safe environment) is a valuable professional skill.

5. **Human subjects**

If a SYPA involves research or use of data involving human subjects, this needs to be assessed and approved by Harvard’s Committee on Human Subjects (CUHS) before the research is undertaken. Screening for whether your work involves human subjects will be done early in the fall semester and managed by section leaders. Expert interviews do not count as human subjects but still require approval by section leaders of an oral consent form. Michael Walton is the point person for human subjects for the course.

6. **Deadlines**

The SYPA is organized around six deadlines. These deadlines are fixed and we will not accept late submissions, so do allow enough time to complete all assignments:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DELIVERABLE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, August 31, 2017</td>
<td>Initial topic selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday, September 21, 2017 [tbc]</td>
<td>Submission of adviser preference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, November 6, 2017</td>
<td>SYPA outline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, January 28, 2018</td>
<td>First draft of SYPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, March 12, 2018</td>
<td>Final draft of SYPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, April 8, 2018</td>
<td>Policy brief</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• **Topic selection:** you will provide an initial description of your topic, in one or two paragraphs, in a form that will be sent to you. Your assignment to a DEV-250 section will be based on this. While few students have a fully specified topic at this point, the overwhelming majority of past students have written SYPAs on some aspect of the initially identified topic.
• **Adviser selection:** this is the date when all advisers are assigned. This is a school-wide process that goes beyond MPA/IDs—we strongly encourage you to meet with advisers early to get a good match.

• **SYPA outline:** this is essentially a contract between you, your adviser and your section leader, covering the substance of your SYPA. It should include the conceptualization of the policy or institutional reform problem, your initial literature review, your methodology and your planned analysis of your SYPA. It may be necessary to redraft the outline before all parties concur in its design, but it is vital that this agreement be completed before the end of the semester so that you can work on an agreed plan during the winter break. Advisers must sign off on the outline, either literally or by email.

• **First SYPA draft:** this is the basis for (a) making a presentation to the members of your section and (b) getting feedback from your adviser and section leader. The better developed your first draft, the higher the quality of feedback you will get from both those sources. We strongly recommend that you prepare a first draft that is a complete product.

• **Final SYPA:** due March 12th, 2018, immediately after the start of the Spring Break (you are free to turn it in earlier). This date is intended to keep your Spring Break free for other activities while offering you the first weekend of the break to apply last minute finishing touches to your work if needed.

• **Policy Brief:** this is a self-standing product, in which you will write a sharp, four page brief that is both positioned strategically to interact with the positions of the various stakeholders, and is highly accessible and engaging.

The way to get the most from this process is to take these deadlines seriously, in substance and timing, so you do not have to play “catch up” just to survive. **Getting behind is the most common problem for students working on SYPA**s. It is easier to work on immediate course demands than to define a topic and carry out work that is not due until the next semester. Therefore, it is critically important to define a feasible topic as soon as possible so you can make realistic plans.

In addition to these deadlines that relate to the substance of your SYPA, all students will need to prepare a submission to section leaders for Human Subjects Review, by October 13, 2017 (tbc). Section leaders will determine whether you have human subject issues. If your research does not involve human subjects, the process ends there. If it does involve human subjects, then you will need to make a formal submission to Harvard’s Committee on the Use of Human Subjects in Research (CUHS). Clearance by CUHS is required before undertaking any research involving human subjects. You will also be required to have completed the human subjects training and to have received a CITI (or equivalent) certification.

**Major assignments must always be turned in electronically by 4PM on the due date (unless otherwise indicated by your section leader).** Intermediate
assignment submission will be determined by section leaders. Late submissions will not be accepted. Note that the final SYPA must also be submitted in paper form (see below).

7. Grading.

DEV-250 is worth 6 credits and will be graded on the usual HKS grade distribution. Writing an acceptable SYPA (B- or better) is a pre-requisite for graduation.

DEV-250 grades will be based on the quality of your final SYPA, as jointly assessed by your adviser and section leader, and on your submissions and presentations in the preparation of the SYPA, participation in classes and section meetings, and the policy brief. The grading breakdown is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Final SYPA</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SYPA Outline</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft SYPA</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy brief</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Participation/Assignments</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The grades for the final SYPA and policy brief will be based exclusively on the written products. Grades for the SYPA outline and the draft SYPA will be based both on these written products and class presentations.

The class participation element will be based on the quality of your contribution to class discussions, and completion of the other intermediate assignments.

Late SYPAs (those turned in after the March 12th deadline) will be automatically penalized two grades (e.g., from B+ to B-).

Final papers that your adviser and section leader consider unacceptable (below B-) will be returned for further revision and such revised SYPAs cannot receive a final grade higher than B.

8. Joint degree students

The requirements, timing and grading for joint degree students will be the same as for other students, with two qualifications:

- Students undertaking a joint HKS/HBS degree will have an HBS adviser as well as an HKS adviser.
- Students at other schools may not be able to join class sessions in the Spring Semester, depending on their location. All deadlines for written assignments remain the same. If you cannot come and make a presentation of your draft SYPA in person, efforts will be made to have you make your presentation by
video conference. We encourage you to make special efforts to stay in touch with section leaders and advisers throughout this semester.
# DETAILED CLASS SCHEDULE
(while deadlines are fixed, specific meeting schedules may vary by section, to accommodate travel, group composition etc.)

## Fall Semester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Class Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Deliverable Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/31/17</td>
<td>Plenary (location TBC)</td>
<td><em>Class Introduction, the Elements of the SYPA process and schedule</em> (Rema Hanna, Carmen Reinhart and Michael Walton): We will discuss the course, deliverables, and what makes a good SYPA. The session will also include an introduction to Harvard library resources by an HKS librarian (tbc), including books, journal articles, databases, data sources, government publications, think tanks etc.</td>
<td>SYPA Topic Form</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9/7/17    | Section Meeting          | *Identifying Useful Analysis and Initial Problem Selection:* We will deconstruct a previous SYPA as a group in relation to the actual grading criteria. Each student will have an opportunity to present their initial ideas.  
*Defining a scope of work:* Each student will bring a 3-4 slide presentation written for their client that outlines the TOR. Students will be expected to both present on their topic and provide feedback. | Come to class having read the assigned SYPA and filled out your grading sheet. Bring your presentation and get ready to discuss! |
| 9/14/17   | Section meeting or office hours | One-on-one or small group meetings to clarify plans and approach |                                           |
| 9/21/17   | Section Meeting          | *Conceptualization and existing evidence:* Students will work in small thematic groups (3-5 students), on two tasks (a) initial literature review—that may be partly collective to the extent issues overlap and (b) presentations in small groups on the conceptualization of their SYPA. | Bring your presentation and get ready to discuss! |
| 9/21/17 (tbc) | DEADLINE FOR SUBMISSION OF ADVISOR PREFERENCES |                                                                           |                                          |
This is to be submitted through HKS-wide system (preferably with a mutually agreed deal).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/28/17</td>
<td>Plenary (location TBC)</td>
<td><em>What is evidence?</em> This session will discuss how to think about empirical investigation of your policy question, including where complete and decisive evidence is unavailable. It will include quantitative as well as qualitative evidence. Students will be directed to other HKS resources for more in-depth treatment of qualitative techniques.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/5/17</td>
<td>Section Meeting</td>
<td><em>Empirical Strategy:</em> Students will present 2-4 slides discussing the type of data that they expect to use (both quantitative and qualitative) and empirical strategy for the data. Bring your presentation and get ready to discuss!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/12/17</td>
<td>Plenary (location TBC)</td>
<td><em>Presentation on making effective presentations:</em> led by Michael Walton</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 10/13/17   |                                            | **DEADLINE FOR HUMAN SUBJECTS SUBMISSION**
All students must submit their proposal using the standard form to Section Leaders, who will resolve non-human subjects cases and decide if your project is categorized as human subjects research. All students with research involving human subjects will submit to CUHS using the on-line portal and must have completed CITI training. |
<p>| 10/19/17   | Section Meeting                            | <em>Presentation in section of issues and hypotheses</em> A higher stakes presentation, with each student or student pair presenting the case for their policy question, initial hypotheses and approach to their client. It will be in full section, with PowerPoint or equivalent, and role play, and will be over up to three weeks, to allow space for all to present. Bring your presentation to present + each student will be required to provide written feedback to one assigned process partner. |
| 10/26/17   | Section Meeting                            | <em>Presentation in section of issues and hypotheses, cont’d</em>                                                                                                                                               |
| 11/2/17    | Section Meeting                            | <em>Presentation in section of issues and hypotheses, cont’d</em>                                                                                                                                               |
| 11/6/17    | (Note that this is a Monday!)             | <strong>DEADLINE - SYPA OUTLINE DUE</strong> The SYPA must be agreed on with your advisor and section leader before the end of the semester.                                                                         |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11/9/17</td>
<td>Office hours or small group</td>
<td><em>Bringing political analysis into your SYPA:</em> Students will bring to class 2-4 slides to present their initial assessment of political issues (large or local) and how they propose to tackle these, for presentation and constructive discussion. Bring your presentation and get ready to discuss!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/17</td>
<td>Office hours or small group</td>
<td><em>Bringing analysis of administrative issues into your SYPA:</em> Students will bring to class 2-4 slides to present their initial assessment of administrative and other implementation issues and how they propose to tackle these, for presentation and constructive discussion. Bring your presentation and get ready to discuss!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/23/17</td>
<td>No class: Thanksgiving</td>
<td>Finalizing plans for the winter break: Students will lay out their strategy for empirical work and writing to get from the outline to the first draft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/30/17</td>
<td>Section Meeting or extended office hours</td>
<td>Finalizing plans for the winter break: Students will lay out their strategy for empirical work and writing to get from the outline to the first draft.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Spring Semester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Class Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Deliverable Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/25/18</td>
<td>NO MEETING</td>
<td>Extra time to work on draft!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/28/18</td>
<td>DEADLINE FOR DRAFT SYPA</td>
<td>A full draft of the SYPA to be submitted to the Section Leader and Adviser</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First weeks of February</td>
<td>Section Meetings</td>
<td>Individual Section Arrangements for the Oral Presentations Using Class and Extra Time: In order to give students time to present their SYPA recommendations and incorporate feedback, the section leaders will make arrangements for several sessions, using both the normally scheduled Thursday classes and additional class times. The goal is to allow us to complete all presentations by the third week of February, giving you a minimum of three weeks, and generally significantly more, to prepare your final SYPA and stakeholder map. Presentations will be to the whole section, with other students having assigned roles as stakeholders or to give feedback.</td>
<td>Presentation of Draft SYPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/12/18</td>
<td>FINAL SYPA DUE BY 4PM!</td>
<td>You must hand in ONE hard copy to your section leader; ONE hard copy to your adviser; and ONE hard copy (as well as electronic copy for the HKS library), to Carol Finney in the MPA/ID office. Of course you may turn in your final SYPA earlier than the deadline and take full advantage of the spring break.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/8/18</td>
<td>SUBMISSION OF POLICY BRIEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>